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1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to describe the notion of ORIENTATION within the typology of FRAMES OF REFERENCE (FoR) in Jaminjung and Kriol. Jaminjung is a Non-Pama-Nyungan Australian Aboriginal Language with an estimated number of 50 speakers in the Victoria River area in the Northern Territory. However, the major community language in the area today is Kriol, an English-lexified creole spoken by approximately 20,000 people.

Levinson (2003, 2006) influentially proposed the existence of three FoRs in natural languages, namely intrinsic (involving an object-centred coordinate system), relative, (a coordinate system centred on the main axis of the body), and absolute (horizontal as well as vertical fixed directions). An approach developed by Terrill and Burenhult (2008) states that orientation rather than a particular FoR is also used to establish spatial reference. Such languages seem not to employ independent cues to impose external coordinates and do not describe location.

One of Jaminjung’s noteworthy features for the description of spatial reference is the existence of two predicative word classes, namely closed-class ‘main’ verbs and open-class ‘coverbs’. The language furthermore employs a drainage-based absolute FoR which is usually only used in large-scale space and only to indicate location of a place or entity relative to the deictic centre, as well as source and goal of motion. In small-scale descriptions only orientational information is given in absolute coordinates as shown in example (1).

(1) mayi=biya jirrama bunthu-yu janyunghari ngiyina-warla
   man=now two 3DU-be.PRS other DIST-DIR
   ga-yu
   3SG-be.PRS=SFOC nose other upstream-L.ALL 3SG-be.PRS
   ‘there are two men, one has his nose that way, the other is facing upstream’
   (Men & Tree 4.10; 4.9 matched. Director and matcher facing towards the river; river visible)
   (Schultze-Berndt 2006: 106)

‘Standing’, or placement, information however, is given in terms of intrinsic coordinates:¹

¹ The abbreviations used in this paper are: 1=first-person, 2=second-person, 3=third-person, ABL=ablative, ADJ=adjective, ADV=adverb, ALL=allative, CONJ=conjunction, DAT=dative, DIR=directional, DIST=distal demonstrative, DU=dual, EXCL=exclusive, FUT=potential/future, IMP=imperative, IMPF=(past)imperfective, INCL=inclusive, INTJ=interjection, LOC=locative,
This paper investigates the use of orientation rather than location further in Jaminjung and Kriol. In addition to a comparative study in the respective languages, the paper also considers possible differences between static and motion descriptions of orientation. It furthermore appears that there are more functional similarities between motion and orientation than between motion and orientation.

Another area of investigation involves the use of landmark terms as reference points for orientation rather than absolute direction. This is illustrated with taun-wei in the Kriol example below taken from a narrative.

(3) Melan go-bek den langa modiga,
 1PL.EXCL go-back then loc car
  \ infra-
  go langa taun-wei.
  go loc town-towards

‘Then we went back to the car and headed towards town.’
(Sandefur 1982: lesson 32)

2. ORIENTATION AS A STRATEGY FOR SPATIAL REFERENCE

Levinson (2003: 56) states in the context of his well-known typology of Frames of Reference that ‘any and every spatial representation, perceptual or conceptual, must involve a Frame of Reference’. Terrill and Burenhult’s (2008) analysis of Jahai and Lavukaleve reveals an additional strategy for spatial reference. These languages orient the intrinsic side of the figure towards another object or direction rather than locate a figure with respect to a ground within a FoR:

(4) ‘He is turned away from the tree-trunk. He turns his back towards Cfs, so that he turns his chest towards the wooden house.’
  (Terrill and Burenhult, 2008: 106)
Example (4) is a translation from Jahai and shows how a figure (he) is oriented with respect to a place (Cfs), and two grounds (tree trunk/wooden house). The intrinsic sides (front/back) of the animate figure (he) are used to project a spatial relation towards the landmark and grounds. This is a clear difference to the FoR strategy where the figure (he) would be located with respect to the landmark (Cfs) and grounds (tree trunk/wooden house) as in example (5) within an intrinsic FoR:

(5) Cfs and the tree trunk are behind him and the wooden house is in front of him.

Terrill and Burenhult describe the notion of orientation for static spatial reference. I will show that the functional features of orientation are parallel to those of motion descriptions. Both involve the orientation of the intrinsic sides of a figure and a reference point. This can be an implicit or explicit ground, an absolute direction, a landmark or a deictic term. Orientation is expressed through the direction of gaze or an intrinsic front/back/side of the figure towards a reference point. Parallel to that, motion descriptions use terms for the direction of motion towards/away from a reference point. Location within FoRs on the other hand locates a figure with reference to a ground which can only be an object or a person.

2.1. Spatial reference in Jaminjung
2.1.1. Location
To locate objects in space, Jaminjung mainly employs an intrinsic FoR for small-scale description, and an absolute FoR based on the direction of the water flow of a local or more global watercourse for large-scale description. For intrinsic (object-centred) location, three types are identified by Schultze-Berndt involving body-part nominals, absolute directional expressions verticality thamirri – ‘underneath’ and thangga ‘on top/above/up’ (converted into intrinsic terms by ablative case) and one of the two coverbs walyang ‘in front’ and birang ‘behind’ (Schultze-Berndt 2006: 108). An example for the use of thangga and thamirri is given in (6).

(6) bayirr ga-yu thangga-yin, janyung-bari supported 3SG-be.PRS above-L.ABL next-QUAL
langin mugurn ga-yu thamirri wood lie 3SG-be.PRS below
‘one is leaning on top, another stick is lying underneath’
(two brooms lying across each other) (TIM203)

3 I will employ the term ‘reference point’ whenever referring to orientation and motion descriptions and the term ‘ground’ to describe reference in location.
Four terms indicating absolute FoR belong to the class of locational nouns. These are for horizontal reference *manamba* ‘upstream’ and *buya* ‘downstream’ and *thangga* ‘up’ and *thamirri* ‘down’ for vertical reference. The horizontal terms are only used to describe a location where the ground is implicit and also the deictic centre as illustrated in (7):

(7) \[ brij = biyang gayu=ni \quad manamba \quad l. \quad yinju \quad \text{PROX} \quad manamba \]

‘the bridge is upstream (from here), here, upstream’

(F04014, 17/06/98)

Generally, Jaminjung does not employ a relative FoR, but the coverbs *walyang* ‘in front of’ and *birang* ‘behind’ can have relative uses. Then they are interpreted as being a ‘ground between viewer and figure’ (Schultze-Berndt 2006: 109). This FoR is only used if the ground (such as the round-shaped bottle) does not have specific intrinsic (side) facets of its own.

(8) \[ birang ga-yu mawud-gi \]

‘it is behind the bottle’

(Schultze-Berndt 2006: 109)

2.1.2. Orientation

There are two ways to express orientation of the intrinsic sides of the figure in static descriptions in Jaminjung. First, the absolute locational nouns *buya* ‘downstream’ and *manamba* ‘upstream’ and ad-hoc landmark terms are used in small-scale descriptions in combination with expressions of direction of gaze (9).

These terms are not employed to indicate location on a small scale for static descriptions.

(9) \[ nindu=biyang manamba mung ga-yu. \]

‘the horse is now looking upstream’

(D30128)

Additionally, there is a set of coverbs of spatial configuration, *jarda* ‘turning one’s back’ and *wamam* ‘facing’, which encode the orientation of a specific side of a figure with respect to the deictic centre or an explicit or implicit ground.

(10) \[ jarda ga-yu ngarlu ngagaj-gi ga-yu birang \]

‘he is turning his back (to me), a shade (tree) is behind his back’

(Schultze-Berndt 2006: 110)
Example (10) also illustrates the contrast of a covert encoding orientation of the intrinsic sides of a figure (jarda) and a covert encoding a region projected from the intrinsic sides of a ground (birang) (Schultze-Berndt 2006: 110). Jarda ‘turning one’s back’ here encodes only an intrinsic side of the figure. Interestingly, these coverbs are also used with inanimate figures such as the car in example (11).

(11) wirib- ah, wirih **birang**, motika, ... **im** sidan ... **sein** wei,  
dog ah dog **behind** car 3SG sit.down same way  
**im** tharda-**ngining,**  
3SG face.**away**-L.ALL  
‘the dog, ah, the dog is behind, the car is standing the same way, it’s facing that way’  
(E13260, 17/04/99)

This example also nicely exemplifies code-switching as a common feature of Jaminjung today. The covert birang ‘behind’ normally indicates an intrinsic relation. In this example, however, there is no explicit ground for the figure wirib ‘dog’. The only clue to the location of the dog is in the description of the orientation of the figure motika ‘car’, facing towards an unspecified deictic centre whose explicit location probably depends on the location of the speaker.

Overall, orientation seems to be Jaminjung’s preferred strategy in small-scale spatial reference. Example (12) shows the use of the covert linkid ‘sideways’ where the intrinsic facets of the figure (‘he’) determine orientation through the direction of gaze towards a reference point (gurrurrij ‘car’).

(12) **linkid-**ngunyi ga-**yu**; **linkid-**ngunyi  
sideways-ABL 3SG-be,PRS **sideways-**ABL  
**mung** gani-ngami gurrurrij  
watch 3SG:3SG-see,PRS car  
‘he is at the side, from the side he is looking at the car’  
(CHE350)

2.1.3. Motion descriptions vs. static descriptions

In addition to representing only orientation, (but not location) in small-scale descriptions, horizontal absolute terms indicating the direction of river flow seem to be used exclusively for motion descriptions on a large scale to indicate the direction or goal or the source of motion (13). This observation indicates that in its function, motion is actually more similar to orientation than to location. For

---

4 Kriol is underlined.

5 The term for ‘car’ motika although taken from Kriol is not an instance of code-switching but a loanword from Kriol.
both orientation and motion the intrinsic facets of the figure, not the ground, are used to indicate either orientation towards a reference point or the direction of motion towards a goal.

(13) **manamba** ba-iga, laginy ba-iga, jamurrugu na **jid**
upstream IMP-go turnoff IMP-*go down* now go.down
‘go upstream, take the turnoff, then down downwards (i.e. towards the river)’
(Schultze-Berndt 2006:108)

Placenames and landmark terms can also be used to indicate the goal or source of a motion event. These are then usually ablative and allative-marked respectively.

(14) **manamba**, // juwud-gu, .. nga-w-ijga, // <Katherine>-**bina**,
upstream eye-DAT 1SG-FUT-go <place.name>-**ALL**
‘I will go upstream for my eyes (to have my eyes fixed), to Katherine’
(E16544, 14/05/98)

There are two coverbs of oriented motion which operate outside FoRs but indicate the direction of motion. **Burduj** ‘go up’ and **jid** ‘go down’ occur in combination with the verbs of locomotion such as -*ijga* ‘go’ and -*ruma* ‘come’ and **jid** can occur with a verb of change of location -*ardba* ‘fall’.6 Example (15) shows the use of **jid** with -*ardba* to indicate the orientation/direction of motion whereas the absolute locational **thamirri** describes the location of the ground together with the allative-marked **gulban**.

(15) **jid** ga-dba-ny warrangan-ngunyi **thamirri** galban-bina
**go.down** 3SG-fall-PST cliff-ABL **below** ground-**ALL**
‘he went down from the cliff down to the ground’
(D14023)

The existence of these coverbs is unsurprising. Cross-linguistically, specialised motion verbs or verb particles to express direction (‘orientation’) of motion are common and this feature is also found in Kriol. However, orientation in static description seems to be much rarer and a feature worth adding to Levinson’s FoR typology of (static) spatial reference.

In conclusion one can say that Jaminjung mainly uses an intrinsic FoR to express location in small-scale description. Furthermore, location is expressed with vertical absolute terms used intrinsically. Horizontal absolute terms always indicate the viewer as ground and furthermore only express orientation not location in small-scale descriptions. As such, the ground is always implicit not explicit. Orientation is expressed by a special set of spatial coverbs and with

---

6 For further details on the difference between verbs of locomotion and verbs of change of location, please refer to Schultze-Berndt, 2000: chapter 5.
absolute terms. Horizontal absolute terms seem to be used only for motion expressions and to indicate orientation. Relative terms (birang/walyang) are used only marginally.

2.2. Spatial reference in Kriol
Kriol is spoken by 20,000 people throughout the north of Australia. There are a number of different varieties showing slight lexical, grammatical and phonetic differences. The terms lodaun/haidap ‘downstream/upstream’, for example, are only in use in the Victoria River variety of Kriol. A systematic comparison of these has not yet been undertaken.

2.3. Location
Generally, Kriol uses intrinsic as well as absolute FoR to locate objects in space. There are no instances in the data for a relative FoR in Kriol. Intrinsic terms are biyain/biyainwei/biain(langa) ‘behind’ and lida langa/frant/la lid la ‘in front’. Example (16) shows the use of biyainwei with the figure det gel and yu as the ground incorporating intrinsic facets.

(16) det gel slip-in biyainwei langa yu
ADJ girl sleep-TR behind loc 2SG
The girl sleeps behind your back.’
(Lee 2004: biyainwei)

Furthermore, vertical absolute terms can also be used intrinsically. Example (17) locates the figure dei ‘they’ in relation to the ground brij ‘bridge’ using the locational andanith ‘underneath’.

(17) dei bin dai andanith la det wanim brij...
3PL PST die underneath loc ADJ what’s-it bridge
‘when they died under that what’s-it... bridge...’
(Angelo, Denise Text 1: FLADWADA: BR & OR: Binjari: 23.2.98, line 203)

Absolute horizontal terms are restricted to sanraiswei/sainraissaid ‘east’ and jangodan/sangodan ‘west’ as well as, for the Victoria River variety, lodaun/lodaun ‘downstream’ and haidap ‘upstream’.

(18) Dei bin abum sambala klebabalamen
3PL PST have:TR some wise men
langa det najawan kantri sanraiswei
loc ADJ another.one country east
‘There are some wise men in another country in the east’
(Kriol Bible, Matthew 1)
In example (18) the location of the figure sambala klebalamen ‘some wise men’ is identical with the location of the ground na jawan kantri ‘another country’ whose location is specified by the horizontal absolute directional sanraiswei ‘east’.

2.3.1. Orientation
Kriol also uses a term for the direction of gaze to indicate the orientation of a figure. In (19) the orientation of the cow’s (buliki) head is expressed with a verb of direction of gaze lukinat towards a deictic reference point (dijey/you weii).

Example (20) shows the use of gibit bekbon ‘turned away’ indicating that the figure (im) ‘he’ is turned away from the reference point (im) ‘him’.

(19) buliki seim wei olabat luk dijey,
cow same way 3PL look this.way
luk-in-at \ langa yu wei \nlook-TR-at loc 2SG way
‘the cow looks in the same direction as them –this way, it looks in your direction’
(E13261)

(20) Im gibit bekbon la im.
3SG turn back loc 3SG
‘He turned his back on him/turned away from him.’
(Lee 2004: bekbon)

Orientation can additionally be indicated through absolute terms. Example (21) shows ap ‘upward’ semantically incorporating an intrinsic feature in the figure used in small-scale description. Orientation itself, however, is indicated with respect to an absolute (vertical) direction. The adverb ontop ‘above’ here provides the absolute location (vertical direction projecting from the viewer) not just direction.

(21) ooo wi bin luk jeya buligi bin jidan leig
INTJ 1PL PST look there cow PST stay leg
ap ontop la tri, buligi (laughs)
upwards above loc tree cow
‘ohhh, we looked and a cow was there (with its) legs up (in the air) up in the tree, a cow!’
(Angelo, Denise Text 1: FLADWADA: BR & OR: Binjari: 23.2.98, line 75)

There are no instances of the horizontal absolute terms used for the description of orientation found in the data so far. These seem to be only employed to indicate motion and instances where the location of the figure is identified in relation to an
implicit ground. In conclusion, one can say that the use of orientation seems to be much rarer in Kriol than in Jaminjung. The use of the terms for the direction of gaze seems parallel to the Jaminjung examples which might suggest a substrate influence on Kriol. The lack of examples for orientational use in Kriol suggests that orientation is indeed a rare notion of spatial reference in a cross-linguistic perspective.

2.3.2. Motion vs. static description
The use of the horizontal absolute terms in large-scale motion descriptions is attested in the data.

(22)  
im  go  laik  lodaun,  en  haidap  
3SG go ADV downstream CONJ upstream

‘He goes downstream and upstream’

(D13014)

Kriol seems to use absolute terms only for large-scale motion descriptions and if the terms are the deictic centre/ground of a static description.

Similar to Jaminjung’s specialised oriented motion coverbs, Kriol employs derived motion verbs indicating the direction/orientation of motion. These do not operate within FoRs but are exclusively used for motion descriptions and orient the moving figure towards its goal. This observation is unsurprising as such specialised verbs are found cross-linguistically (e.g. English particle-verbs go up/go down or German hochgehen/runtergehen).

(23)  
dis  du  boi  bin  dal–im  im,  gaman  
PRO two boy PST tell–TR 3SG come:IMP

1PL go–DIR ADV loc creek

‘These two boys told him, “Come on, we are going down here to the creek”’.

(Munro, 2005: 132)

In Kriol, location is expressed with both absolute and intrinsic terms. There do not seem to be terms for left/right in regular use. As such, it is questionable whether or not a relative FoR is in use. Orientation is expressed with horizontal and vertical absolute terms for large-scale direction in motion description and absolute terms used intrinsically in small-scale description. Horizontal absolute terms seem to be used exclusively for motion expressions. Vertical absolute directionals seem to employ a distinction between exclusive motion use (ap/dan) and static as well as motion use (antap/andani).
3. CONCLUSION

This short analysis shows that Levinson’s (2003: 97) claim that ‘all the distinctions in frames of references and their instantiation … carry over from the static to the dynamic subdomain of spatial description’ does not hold true. There are such specialised verbal motion forms both in Jaminjung and Kriol used to describe motion events outside FoRs. In Jaminjung these are the coverbs *burdij ‘go up’* and *jid ‘go down’*. Derivational verbs of motion such as *kanddan ‘come down’* and *gobek ‘go back’* are used in Kriol to indicate the direction of motion. Motion descriptions such as the Kriol example (24) show the functional similarities between descriptions of orientation and motion. In fact, the figure (*mibala najalot ‘the others and I’) is oriented toward the direction of motion (*jangodan ‘west’) just as in the orientation Jaminjung example in (12) where the intrinsic facets of the figure (*‘he’) determine orientation through the direction of gaze.

(24)  
\[ \text{Mibala najalot bin gowin na} \]  
\[ \text{1SG other PST go.in now} \]  
\[ \text{raidap-raidap jangodan} \]  
\[ \text{RDP-right.up west} \]  
‘The others and I went all the way to the western side.’  
(Sandefur 1982, lesson 32)

Including the notion of orientation into the framework of a language’s spatial reference additionally reveals that motion, in its function, is more similar to orientation than location in orienting the facets of a figure in relation to absolute directionals, landmarks or a reference point. This observation is worth further investigation. The data base for this analysis is, at this point in time, limited and needs specific fieldwork to examine spatial reference and particularly the notion of orientation and motion descriptions within and outside FoR typology.
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